Community Policing and Security Issues in Nigeria: A Study of Local Vigilante Operations in the South-East Region (2019–2023)

Chukwuemeka Steve Abiakam[1] ** and Okereke Chikaodili Azuoma[2]

Abstract

The persistent inefficiency and shortcomings of the Nigeria Police Force and other state security apparatuses in safeguarding lives and property, particularly at the grassroots level have prompted the emergence and reliance on local vigilante groups across the country, including in the South-East region. This study investigates the role of community policing in addressing Nigeria's security challenges, with a particular emphasis on the activities and contributions of vigilante groups in the South-East geopolitical zone between 2019 and 2023. Guided by deterrence theory, as conceptualized by early utilitarian thinkers Cesare Beccaria and Jeremy Bentham, the research explores how the threat or application of punitive measures influences criminal behavior. The study utilizes qualitative data collected through semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders such as community leaders, law enforcement personnel, and members of vigilante organizations. The findings indicate that while community-based security structures, such as vigilante groups, have been instrumental in curbing various security threats including armed robbery, kidnapping, cult-related violence, herdsmen incursions, and communal unrest their overall effectiveness remains constrained. Key limitations include insufficient training and financial support, poor collaboration with formal security agencies, and undue political interference. The paper concludes that despite these challenges, local vigilante groups hold considerable promise for enhancing public safety in the South-East. It recommends targeted interventions, including improved training, adequate funding, and proper equipping of these groups, to bolster their capacity to function as effective instruments of community policing in the region.

Keywords: Community, Policing, Security, Vigilantism, Region

1-2 Institute for Development Studies, University of Nigeria, Enugu – Nigeria

Introduction

Community policing has increasingly become a fundamental strategy for maintaining law and order globally, and its implementation in Nigeria is particularly significant given the country's persistent security challenges. In the South-East geopolitical zone, residents contend with a range of threats including armed robbery, kidnapping, cult-related violence, communal conflicts, and attacks by herdsmen. In response, local communities have initiated the formation of vigilante groups to augment the efforts of conventional law enforcement and address security concerns at the grassroots level.

Community policing emphasizes proactive citizen involvement in crime prevention, fostering collaboration between community members and law enforcement agencies. Vigilante groups, often composed of volunteers from within the community, represent a grassroots-driven response to local security needs. These groups typically work in partnership with formal security institutions to ensure safety and order, embodying the principles of community-based policing. As noted by Erhurhu (2017), vigilante groups are financed and managed by community stakeholders with the primary goal of safeguarding life and property.

In the South-East zone, the establishment and institutionalization of vigilante groups have gained widespread momentum. Okoli et al. (2020) report that Enugu State alone has over 3,000 registered vigilante groups, referred to as Neighbourhood Watch, which operate under the directives of state authorities. Similarly, Ebonyi State has structured its security apparatus to include organizations such as the Ebube Agu Security Outfit and the Afikpo North Vigilante Group. In Anambra State, security efforts are supported by the Anambra Vigilante Services (AVS), Anambra State Vigilante Group (ASVG), and Anambra State Vigilante Association (ASVA). Abia State hosts multiple entities, including the Abia State Vigilante Service (ASVS), Homeland Vigilante Service (HVS), and Abia State Security Watch (ASSW), while Imo State operates through bodies like the Imo State Security Network Agency (IMSSNA) and the Imo State Vigilante Group (IMSVG).

These localized security outfits have emerged as vital actors in the region's efforts to combat crime and maintain public order (Igbokwe-Ibeto, 2019). The proliferation of these groups is largely due to the perceived inadequacies of the Nigeria Police Force and other government security agencies in effectively protecting citizens (Onuoha, 2017). Operating with community-based policing principles, these groups leverage

indigenous knowledge, social networks, and cultural insights to gather intelligence, deter crime, and ensure rapid response to security threats. As Akpan (2021) points out, this localized approach fosters greater trust between security providers and residents, enhancing cooperation and improving overall security outcomes.

The academic discourse on community policing in Nigeria underscores the importance of cooperation between local vigilante groups and formal security bodies. For instance, Okorie and Ugwuoke (2017) highlight that enhanced collaboration between vigilantes and the police significantly strengthens crime prevention efforts. Meanwhile, Ome and Olorunfemi (2019) identify pressing challenges such as insufficient training, limited resources, and the risk of rights violations that must be addressed to improve the efficacy of these non-state security actors.

This study seeks to build upon existing literature by critically examining the role of local vigilante groups in community policing within the South-East geopolitical zone between 2019 and 2023. Drawing on qualitative data obtained through interviews and documentary sources, the research will explore the operations, experiences, and challenges faced by these groups. It will also assess community perceptions regarding their performance and investigate how various obstacles, including political interference and institutional gaps, affect their effectiveness. Ultimately, the study aims to offer valuable insights into the dynamics of community policing in Nigeria and propose strategies for enhancing grassroots security initiatives.

The South-East geopolitical zone of Nigeria has experienced a surge in diverse security threats, including armed robbery, kidnapping, communal violence, and intergroup tensions (Onyishi, 2019). In response, many communities have established local vigilante groups as grassroots mechanisms to bolster the protection of lives and property, uphold communal values, and sustain social order. These groups have become critical players in community-based security, often stepping in where formal policing structures appear overstretched or ineffective.

Recognizing their growing influence, the Nigerian government, in partnership with state and local authorities, has taken steps to institutionalize and oversee the operations of vigilante groups. This includes the formulation of operational guidelines, training initiatives, and codes of conduct designed to promote

professionalism and ensure these groups function within legal and human rights frameworks (Onyishi, Nweke & Nwankwo, 2019). Collaborative efforts between vigilante groups and official security agencies have also been encouraged to strengthen coordination, intelligence sharing, and operational capacity (Nwangwu & Ihuoma, 2021). Such partnerships aim to address systemic challenges faced by vigilante groups—such as limited resources, absence of legal authority, and lack of technological support—while harnessing their deep-rooted community knowledge and trust.

Despite the formalization of community policing strategies and the increasing reliance on local vigilante groups, the region continues to grapple with serious security issues. The efficacy of these informal structures in delivering sustainable and accountable security remains debatable. Allegations of excessive use of force, human rights abuses, and vigilantism have raised significant concerns about the unchecked powers of these groups (Anyadike, 2020). The absence of adequate oversight, professional training, and clear legal mandates further complicates their operations and threatens the rule of law. These issues call for a rigorous and systematic inquiry into the contributions, constraints, and ethical implications of vigilante-led community policing efforts in the South-East.

Conceptual Review

❖   The Concept of Community Policing

Community policing is a strategic approach to law enforcement that emphasizes building cooperative relationships between police officers and community members to effectively address crime, enhance public safety, and promote the overall well-being of society. Unlike the traditional reactive model of policing that responds to crime after it occurs, community policing adopts a proactive stance that prioritizes trust-building, collaborative problem-solving, and sustained community engagement (Trojanowicz, 1994).

At its core, community policing is grounded in the recognition that policing is most effective when the community is an active partner in the process. It acknowledges the limitations of law enforcement operating in isolation and instead advocates for inclusive partnerships involving residents, local organizations, and other stakeholders. These partnerships foster mutual understanding, facilitate the exchange of critical information, and enable the development of tailored strategies

that address the unique security needs of individual communities (Rosenbaum & Lurigio, 2017).

Key elements of the community policing model include collaboration, transparency, and a strong emphasis on addressing the root causes of crime. By engaging in systematic problem-solving efforts, law enforcement agencies can analyze patterns of criminal activity, conduct needs assessments, and implement evidence-based interventions that reduce crime and disorder over time (Skogan & Hartnett, 2017).

Furthermore, community policing involves increased visibility and interaction between police and community members through initiatives such as foot patrols, town hall meetings, and other forms of direct engagement. These efforts aim to humanize the police, increase community trust, and encourage the flow of vital information that can aid crime prevention and resolution (Troshynski & Forde, 2020).

According to Troshynski and Forde (2020), a defining feature of community policing is the empowerment of local residents in decision-making processes related to safety and crime prevention. This empowerment fosters shared responsibility and collective ownership of community safety. Programs such as neighborhood watch schemes and community safety forums exemplify how community members can play a central role in addressing security concerns (Bureau of Justice Assistance, 2020).

While community policing has demonstrated numerous benefits including improved public trust in the police, stronger community cohesion, and enhanced crime deterrence its effectiveness depends on consistent institutional support. This includes adequate training for officers, resource allocation, and sustained engagement with diverse community groups.

❖ Conceptualizing Security Challenges

A clear understanding of security is fundamental to grasping the nature and scope of security challenges. Security is commonly defined as a condition in which individuals, communities, and states enjoy freedom from danger, harm, or threat. It encompasses the protection, defense, and preservation of vital values and interests from physical, psychological, social, and economic risks (Nwankwo et al., 2022). Security challenges, therefore, refer to the diverse and evolving threats that

undermine the safety, stability, and welfare of people and societies. These challenges manifest through a variety of sources such as terrorism, organized crime, cyber threats, geopolitical disputes, civil unrest, and natural disasters (Buzan et al., 2018).

Addressing security challenges necessitates a multidimensional and holistic approach involving various actors, strategic frameworks, and the deployment of appropriate resources. One of the most pressing global threats is terrorism, which inflicts loss of life, destruction of infrastructure, and widespread fear and instability. Combating terrorism requires international collaboration, robust intelligence sharing, and well-coordinated counterterrorism operations (Jenkins, 2018).

Another major security concern is organized crime, which includes illicit activities such as drug and human trafficking, money laundering, and arms smuggling. These criminal enterprises often operate transnationally, exploiting institutional weaknesses and posing significant threats to governance and national security (Mallory, 2019).

In the contemporary digital era, cybersecurity has become a critical dimension of national and global security. Cyber threats including hacking, data breaches, and cyber espionage have the potential to disrupt economies, destabilize governments, and violate individual privacy. Hence, safeguarding digital infrastructure and enhancing cybersecurity systems is essential (McConnell, 2019).

Geopolitical tensions also remain a formidable source of insecurity. Disputes between nations, armed conflicts, and territorial aggressions can result in mass displacement, humanitarian crises, and regional instability. Achieving durable peace in such contexts requires diplomatic engagement, conflict resolution mechanisms, and reinforced international cooperation (Gheciu & Riecke, 2019).

Moreover, internal challenges such as political instability and social unrest contribute significantly to national insecurity. Civil protests and political agitation often arise from unresolved socio-economic grievances. Ensuring inclusive governance, respecting human rights, and addressing root causes of discontent are vital to maintaining domestic peace (Jongman et al., 2019).

Natural disasters including earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, and pandemics also represent significant threats to human security. These events not only cause

widespread damage and loss of life but also overwhelm emergency services and stretch national resources. Effective disaster preparedness, early warning systems, and coordinated international responses are necessary to mitigate their impact (Comfort, 2019).

In sum, confronting contemporary security challenges demands a comprehensive and integrated strategy. This approach should combine advanced technology, law enforcement, intelligence, community engagement, and international collaboration to effectively protect societies against an increasingly complex array of threats.

❖ Concept of Vigilantism

Vigilantism refers to the act whereby individuals or groups undertake the enforcement of law and order outside the bounds of established legal frameworks, often motivated by the pursuit of justice or the rectification of perceived societal wrongs (Breckenridge, 2019). Such practices typically emerge in contexts marked by ineffective policing, breakdowns in institutional authority, or longstanding grievances within communities. While some vigilante actors may claim to be driven by a sense of civic responsibility or a desire to uphold justice, their activities frequently undermine the rule of law, contribute to violence, and provoke serious concerns regarding human rights and societal stability.

Vigilantism can manifest in a range of forms, from informal neighborhood watch initiatives to highly organized and often militarized armed groups. These entities often arise in response to acute security challenges or persistent criminal activities, attempting to fill the perceived void left by inadequate or mistrusted law enforcement institutions (Chapkis, 2019). However, such groups often operate through extrajudicial means, characterized by violence, intimidation, and a lack of legal oversight or due process.

The phenomenon presents numerous legal and ethical dilemmas. By circumventing formal judicial mechanisms, vigilantism erodes the legitimacy and authority of state institutions charged with upholding justice and enforcing laws. The consequences frequently include human rights violations, deepened social cleavages, and the perpetuation of violent cycles (Hughes & Edwards, 2018). A comprehensive response to vigilantism necessitates the reinforcement of the rule of law, improved access to justice, and enhanced capacity and responsiveness of law enforcement agencies (Nadarajah & Sriskandarajah, 2020).

Vigilante groups are typically collectives that self-organize to address perceived lapses in public security, often functioning entirely outside the parameters of the legal justice system (Zárate, 2019). Their emergence is closely linked to a lack of public confidence in formal institutions, particularly in environments where crime and insecurity are widespread. Although some of these groups claim to safeguard their communities from threats, their activities frequently give rise to serious legal, ethical, and human rights concerns.

These groups range in structure and purpose from community-based watch teams to militarized non-state actors. In certain cases, their formation is a direct response to specific, unresolved security threats or high-profile criminal incidents that elicit strong communal reactions (Bjelopera & Randol, 2020). Their methods, however, often involve extralegal practices such as mob justice, physical assault, and intimidation, undermining constitutional protections and legal norms.

The proliferation of digital technologies and social media has also transformed the dynamics of vigilantism. Online vigilante groups, sometimes labeled as "cyber-mobs," utilize digital platforms to expose alleged offenders, engage in public shaming, and, in extreme cases, attempt to administer extrajudicial forms of punishment (Sharma & Sharma, 2020). Although some of these efforts may originate from a desire to uphold social justice, they often blur ethical boundaries and may lead to cyberbullying, the dissemination of falsehoods, and reputational harm.

Overall, while vigilante activity often arises in response to legitimate concerns regarding crime and institutional failure, it poses significant threats to the integrity of the justice system, the protection of human rights, and the cohesion of society. The persistence of such groups highlights the urgent need for institutional reforms aimed at restoring public confidence in legal and law enforcement mechanisms (Bayley, 2019).

Theoretical Framework

This paper adopts Deterrence Theory as its analytical framework. First introduced by Cesare Beccaria in On Crimes and Punishments (1764) and later expanded by Jeremy Bentham in The Principles of Morals and Legislation (1789), deterrence theory posits that individuals are rational actors who evaluate the potential benefits and consequences of their actions. Central to the theory is the idea that crime can

be prevented when the costs of punishment outweigh the perceived rewards (Beccaria, 1764).

According to deterrence theory, the likelihood of criminal behavior diminishes when the punishment is certain, swift, and proportionally severe. Three key assumptions underpin the theory: rationality of offenders, certainty of punishment, and severity of penalties. When potential offenders believe they are likely to be apprehended and punished, especially with penalties that are sufficiently grave, they are less inclined to commit crimes.

In the context of community policing and local vigilante efforts in Nigeria, deterrence theory provides a useful lens through which to examine how informal security actors may discourage criminal behavior. The presence of vigilante groups in the South-East region, for example, may serve as a perceived threat to potential offenders. When community members believe these groups are capable of responding promptly and decisively to crimes, the fear of being caught and punished may act as an informal deterrent.

However, while deterrence theory suggests that punishment can reduce crime, its application in community-based security initiatives must be approached with caution. Vigilante justice, if unchecked, risks devolving into human rights violations and abuse of power. Therefore, deterrent efforts by non-state actors must be guided by principles of fairness, due process, and accountability, to ensure they complement rather than undermine the formal justice system.

In summary, deterrence theory emphasizes that individuals are less likely to engage in criminal acts when the perceived costs, particularly the certainty and severity of punishment exceed the expected benefits. This framework sheds light on the potential role of community policing and vigilante groups in shaping crime patterns in Nigeria. Nonetheless, any practical application must be tempered by legal safeguards to prevent abuse and uphold the rule of law.

Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative techniques to provide a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between community policing, security challenges, and the role of local

vigilante groups in Nigeria. The research begins with an extensive review of existing literature, examining scholarly articles, academic books, policy documents, government reports, and other relevant materials. This review serves to identify key theoretical frameworks, empirical findings, and conceptual debates surrounding community policing and non-state security actors in the Nigerian context.

In addition to secondary sources, the study incorporates primary data collection through semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders. These include police officers, local vigilante leaders, community residents, and government officials. The interviews are designed to elicit rich, experiential data on their perceptions, roles, and interactions within community policing frameworks.

Furthermore, the study involves direct field observations conducted in the South-East geopolitical zone of Nigeria. These observations focus on the operations of vigilante groups, their engagement with local populations, and their cooperation, or lack thereof, with formal law enforcement agencies. This ethnographic component offers real-time insights into community-level security practices.

To analyze the data, the study employs content analysis techniques. This includes coding and interpreting interview transcripts, observation notes, media reports, and relevant official documentation. The analysis aims to identify patterns, themes, and trends that illuminate the legal, historical, and practical dimensions of community policing and vigilante activity. By triangulating multiple data sources, the study ensures a robust and contextually grounded understanding of the security landscape in the region.

Discussions and Analyses

The discussions and analyses presented in this section are derived from a triangulation of documentary evidence, direct field observations, and interviews conducted with key stakeholders. These stakeholders include police personnel, community residents, leaders of local vigilante groups, and government officials. The integration of these diverse sources provides a multidimensional understanding of the dynamics between community policing, security challenges, and the role of vigilante groups in the South-East geopolitical zone of Nigeria. The findings are interpreted in light of the study's theoretical framework and are aimed at

uncovering patterns, assessing the effectiveness of security arrangements, and identifying emerging trends within the community security landscape.

Community Policing and Security Challenges in South-East Nigeria

The nexus between community policing and security challenges in Nigeria is intricate and dynamic. As a law enforcement strategy, community policing emphasizes collaborative engagement between the police and local populations to address security concerns effectively (Olaniyan, 2022). The model is rooted in the belief that crime prevention is most successful when the community plays an active role alongside formal security structures.

Nigeria is confronted with numerous security threats, including insurgency, armed robbery, kidnapping, communal violence, and religious and ethnic tensions (Ishola & Dabira, 2020). In this context, community policing has emerged as a mechanism to restore trust, foster communication, and encourage joint responsibility for public safety (Isah, 2021). Central to this approach are grassroots initiatives such as neighbourhood watch groups and vigilante organizations, which aim to bolster the capabilities of official security forces.

This relationship, however, is two-sided. On one hand, community policing has the capacity to mitigate crime by enhancing local intelligence, increasing public trust in the police, and enabling faster response to incidents (Adepoju, 2022). Community participation often results in the timely identification of threats and improved cooperation with law enforcement agencies. On the other hand, persistent security issues can undermine the very foundations of community policing. In areas affected by high levels of violence, widespread mistrust of the police may weaken cooperation, thereby reducing the effectiveness of policing efforts (Ezeani, 2021). Furthermore, systemic issues such as poor funding, insufficient training, and police corruption pose serious obstacles to implementing community-based strategies.

To improve outcomes, several reform efforts have been introduced. These include enhancing community engagement through outreach programs, creating feedback mechanisms, professionalizing the police force through training, and providing the necessary tools to support community policing initiatives (Eneh, 2022).

A growing body of research has examined the impact of community policing on crime prevention in Nigeria. For instance, Okorie and Ugwuoke (2017) analyzed the effectiveness of community policing in the South-East, emphasizing the importance of coordinated efforts between local vigilantes and formal security agents. Likewise, Ome and Olorunfemi (2019) investigated the contributions and limitations of vigilante groups, highlighting challenges such as inadequate resources, lack of professional training, and potential abuses of authority. These studies underscore the need for reform and oversight to ensure the legitimacy and sustainability of community-based security structures.

In response to Nigeria's multifaceted security crisis, especially in rural and conflict-prone areas, local vigilante groups have emerged as important actors. Their embeddedness within the community enables swift mobilization and contextual understanding of threats (Nwangwu & Ihuoma, 2021). However, to optimize their effectiveness, strategic partnerships with formal security institutions are necessary. Such collaboration would address capacity deficits, enhance accountability, and align local operations with national security frameworks.

To better understand the specific security concerns in the South-East region, a series of interviews were conducted with police officers, vigilante group leaders, traditional rulers, and community residents. The interviews, many of which were conducted under conditions of anonymity, provided critical insight into the prevalent security issues between 2019 and 2023. Key concerns identified included kidnapping, armed robbery, cultism, inter-communal conflict, and sporadic herdsmen attacks.

Kidnapping was widely reported as a serious threat across different social strata. Victims included public figures, business owners, and students, with ransom demands frequently accompanied by violence. Armed robbery was another recurring issue, with incidents targeting residences, business outlets, and travelers, often involving the use of firearms.

Participants also highlighted the proliferation of cult groups and youth gangs, which contributed to rising insecurity through violent clashes and criminal activity. Communal conflicts, often stemming from disputes over land, political power, or local resources, led to property destruction, displacement, and loss of life. While the

frequency of herdsmen attacks was said to have diminished, sporadic assaults still occurred and remained a source of fear for many communities.

In summary, the case of local vigilante groups in South-East Nigeria from 2019 to 2023 underscores the nuanced role of community policing amid ongoing security challenges. While these groups have provided grassroots responses to crime, concerns regarding regulation, oversight, and human rights adherence remain. Nonetheless, community policing—when properly supported and integrated into broader security frameworks—offers a viable path toward enhancing public safety and building resilient communities.

Local Vigilante Groups and Security Challenges in the South-East Zone (2019–2023) The South-East geopolitical zone of Nigeria comprising Abia, Anambra, Ebonyi, Enugu, and Imo States—has grappled with significant security challenges in recent years, including inter-group conflicts, banditry, and kidnapping (Onyishi, 2019). Historically, this region was considered one of the safest in the country. According to a 2019 report by Nigeria Mourns, the South-East recorded the fewest fatalities from violent attacks, with only 94 deaths. In comparison, the South-West reported 157 deaths, the South-South 341, the North-Central 469, the North-East 1,009, and the North-West topped the chart with 1,118 fatalities (Chime, 2022).

However, the resurgence of secessionist movements, particularly the activities of the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB), and the corresponding government response have significantly destabilized the security landscape in the region. In reaction to rising insecurity and the threat posed by criminal elements such as bandits and rogue herdsmen, IPOB leader Nnamdi Kanu, who is currently facing legal prosecution, announced the formation of the Eastern Security Network (ESN) on December 13, 2020. Although the ESN was established under the pretext of enhancing regional security, evidence suggests a sharp rise in violence following its inception.

Data compiled by the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) and various media outlets indicate a staggering 555.7% increase in reported killings in the South-East between 2020 and 2021. Specifically, between January 1 and December 12, 2020, the region recorded 97 violent deaths. That number escalated to 636 between December 13, 2020, and December 12, 2021 (Chime, 2022). These figures, detailed in Table 2 of the appendix, exclude deaths from domestic violence and mob justice.

The 2021 Nextier Violent Conflict Database further highlights regional disparities in violent fatalities. For herdsmen-related killings, the South-East ranked fourth, with 37 deaths. In killings attributed to secessionist activities, the South-East ranked first, accounting for 294 fatalities—far outpacing the South-South (72 deaths) and South-West (67 deaths). Additionally, the region ranked third in extra-judicial killings, also with 37 deaths. By 2022, the South-East maintained its third-place ranking for both herdsmen and extra-judicial killings (Nextier, 2022).

Amid these mounting security concerns, local vigilante groups have emerged as critical actors in maintaining law and order within their communities. Known variously as "Neighbourhood Watch," "Vigilante Associations," or "Local Security Outfits," these groups consist of community volunteers who step in where formal security presence is insufficient or ineffective.

The activities of these groups have attracted both praise and criticism. On the one hand, they have been commended for their role in curbing crime, restoring community confidence, and preserving local norms (Igbokwe - Ibeto, 2019). Their approach often reflects a grassroots policing model that leverages local knowledge, cultural practices, and social networks for intelligence gathering, crime prevention, and rapid response to security incidents.

On the other hand, concerns have been raised over issues of accountability, human rights violations, and potential misuse of authority. Without formal regulation and oversight, some vigilante groups have reportedly engaged in extrajudicial practices and infringed on individual rights (Anyadike, 2020). A lack of standardized training, operational guidelines, and accountability mechanisms increases the risk of excessive use of force and arbitrary decisions—undermining the rule of law.

To mitigate these risks and enhance the effectiveness of community policing, efforts have been made to formalize and regulate vigilante activities. In partnership with state and local authorities, the Nigerian government has introduced measures such as codes of conduct, legal frameworks, and training programs to ensure that vigilante groups operate within the boundaries of the law and uphold human rights standards (Onyishi, 2019).

To assess the role and effectiveness of local vigilante groups in addressing security challenges in the South-East, interviews were conducted with a cross-section of

stakeholders, including vigilante members, community leaders, residents, and law enforcement officials. The findings from these interviews reveal a consensus on the vital contributions of these groups.

Respondents consistently emphasized that local vigilante groups play a key role in intelligence gathering. Their familiarity with the terrain and population enables them to detect suspicious activities and relay critical information to formal security agencies. Moreover, these groups have been instrumental in community mobilization against crime. They organize awareness campaigns, encourage the reporting of suspicious activities, and foster cooperation with law enforcement authorities—thereby cultivating a communal sense of responsibility for security.

Furthermore, several interviewees recounted instances in which local vigilante groups responded rapidly to emerging threats. By patrolling neighborhoods, establishing checkpoints, and apprehending suspected criminals, they complement police efforts and enhance deterrence. Their visibility and proactive stance often dissuade criminal behavior, especially in areas with limited police coverage.

Challenges Facing Community-Based Vigilante Groups in Addressing Crime in South-East Nigeria

The effectiveness of local vigilante groups in addressing crime and criminality in Nigeria's South-East geopolitical zone is hindered by a range of structural, operational, and legal challenges. One of the most significant limitations is the lack of professional training. These groups are typically not equipped with formal instruction in modern law enforcement practices, investigative procedures, or human rights standards. This gap affects their ability to handle complex criminal activities effectively and lawfully.

Furthermore, most vigilante groups operate without the necessary logistics and resources, such as vehicles, communication tools, and modern equipment, making it difficult for them to confront organized or well-armed criminal elements. Another critical issue is the absence of a formal legal mandate. Because these groups function outside the recognized legal framework, their legitimacy is often questioned. This informality raises concerns about accountability and increases the risk of extrajudicial practices (Ukiwo, 2012).

Coordination between local vigilante groups and official security agencies is often poor. The lack of structured collaboration and information sharing leads to duplicated efforts, communication breakdowns, and inconsistent crime-fighting strategies. Moreover, since these vigilante outfits operate primarily at the community level, their jurisdictional scope is narrow. Criminal operations that span multiple communities or states typically exceed their operational capacity and require broader institutional intervention (Horsfall & Jaja, 2018).

Another major challenge is the insufficient institutional support and recognition from government authorities. Many vigilante groups operate with minimal financial backing and logistical assistance, which constrains their ability to perform optimally (Ukiwo, 2012). In some cases, political interference and the absence of official incentives have further limited their functionality. In addition, there are documented instances of abuses, including arbitrary detentions, excessive use of force, and extrajudicial killings. These human rights violations erode public trust and compromise the legitimacy of their operations (Ukiwo, 2012; Obi, 2012).

To further explore these issues, this study conducted interviews with vigilante group leaders, members, and other relevant stakeholders. The findings corroborate the scholarly literature and confirm that inadequate training, poor funding, lack of coordination with state security agencies, and politicization are key limitations to vigilante effectiveness. Interviewees emphasized that the unclear legal status of these groups impedes formal collaboration with law enforcement, and the absence of standardized regulatory frameworks exposes them to unchecked practices. Additionally, the limited jurisdiction of local vigilante groups was highlighted, particularly regarding the inability to combat crimes that cross community or state lines. This restriction allows criminals to exploit boundaries and escape localized enforcement.

These findings align with those of Chikwendu et al. (2016), who acknowledged the contributions of vigilante services to crime control but also recognized their operational limitations. Similarly, Erhurhu (2017) and Unya (2017) identified inadequate funding, lack of incentives, and political interference as significant barriers. Chikwendu et al. (2016) further noted that informal policing structures often emerge to fill the gaps left by formal police inadequacies and are widely accepted within local communities. The findings also resonate with Ukiwo (2012), who emphasized limited resources, training, and legal authority, and with Horsfall

and Jaja (2018), who identified poor coordination and jurisdictional constraints as major challenges facing community vigilante groups in Nigeria.

Recommendations and Conclusion

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are advanced:

❖ **Provision of Adequate Training, Equipment, and Funding**

Government and community leaders should ensure the provision of sufficient training, logistical support, and financial resources to enhance the operational capacity of local vigilante groups in addressing security challenges effectively.

❖ **Strengthening Inter-Agency Collaboration**

Strategic partnerships should be developed between vigilante groups, formal law enforcement agencies, community stakeholders, and relevant governmental bodies. These collaborations should include structured information-sharing mechanisms, joint training programs, and coordinated crime-prevention strategies.

❖ **Development of Standardized Guidelines and Codes of Conduct**

The establishment of formal operational guidelines and codes of conduct is essential. These frameworks should promote adherence to human rights, respect for the rule of law, and institutional accountability. Enforcement of these guidelines must be consistent and transparent.

❖ **Comprehensive Capacity Building Initiatives**

Local vigilante members should be exposed to regular capacity-building programs covering areas such as conflict mediation, community engagement strategies, legal awareness, and ethical conduct. This would enhance their professionalism and effectiveness.

❖ **Establishment of Oversight and Monitoring Mechanisms**

Governmental and community-based monitoring bodies should be instituted to regularly assess the activities of vigilante groups. These mechanisms should aim to detect and correct misconduct, identify capacity gaps, and ensure strict compliance with regulatory frameworks.

❖ **Community Sensitization and Public Engagement**

Public awareness campaigns should be conducted to inform community members about the functions, responsibilities, and limitations of vigilante groups. Open forums for dialogue and feedback should be established to foster transparency, encourage accountability, and build public confidence.

This study has illuminated the complex interplay between community policing, prevailing security challenges, and the role of local vigilante groups in the South-East geopolitical zone of Nigeria. The findings demonstrate that, despite operating within an informal framework, these groups have made significant contributions to local security. Through the application of community policing strategies, vigilante groups leverage indigenous knowledge, cultural norms, and established social networks to collect intelligence, deter criminal activities, and respond promptly to threats. Their presence has helped foster collaboration between community members and formal law enforcement agencies, thereby bridging trust gaps and enhancing collective security.

However, the study also revealed critical concerns regarding the operations of these groups. The absence of standardized training, regulatory oversight, and clearly defined operational guidelines has resulted in instances of excessive use of force and human rights violations. These shortcomings underscore the urgent need for robust regulatory frameworks, structured oversight, and formalized collaboration with statutory security agencies to ensure that vigilante operations align with constitutional mandates, uphold human rights, and maintain public trust.

In spite of these challenges, the study affirms that local vigilante groups hold considerable potential in supplementing the formal security architecture and improving public safety outcomes, particularly in underserved and high-risk communities.

References

Adepoju, G. A. (2022). Community policing and security challenges in Nigeria: An appraisal. Ilorin Journal of Sociology, 14(1), 43–62.

Akpan, E. (2021). Local vigilante groups and the challenges of insecurity in Nigeria: A study of Ikot Ekpene Local Government Area. Global Academic Journal of Business, Social Sciences, and Humanities, 4(6), 247–261.

Anyadike, N. O. (2020). An assessment of vigilante justice and security governance in Nigeria. Crime, Law and Social Change, 74(1), 1–17.

Bayley, D. H. (2019). Vigilante justice. SAGE Publications.

Beccaria, C. (1764). On crimes and punishments. Bobbs-Merrill.

Bentham, J. (1789). An introduction to the principles of morals and legislation. T. Payne and Son.

Bjelopera, J. P., & Randol, M. (2020). Vigilantism and extralegal justice: A systematic review of definitions, characteristics, and consequences. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 51, 101364.

Breckenridge, K. (2019). Vigilantism: Between the law and the mob. Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 15, 273–291.

Bureau of Justice Assistance. (2020). Community policing defined. https://cops.usdoj.gov/pdf/vets-to-cops/community-policing-defined.pdf

Buzan, B., Wæver, O., & de Wilde, J. (2018). Security: A new framework for analysis. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Chapkis, W. (2019). Vigilantism. In Wiley Blackwell encyclopedia of social theory.

Chikwendu, S. C., Nwankwo, I. U., & Oli, N. P. (2016). The role of vigilante service groups in crime control for sustainable development in Anambra State, South-East Nigeria. Greener Journal of Social Sciences, 6(3), 65–74.

Chime, V. (2022, June 27). How security situation in South-East degenerated in one year. The Cable. https://www.thecable.ng/insight-how-security-situation-in-south-east-degenerated-in-one-year

Comfort, L. K. (2019). Disaster resilience: A national imperative. National Academy Press.

Eneh, S. O. (2022). Enhancing community policing as a strategy for addressing security challenges in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Police Studies, 5(1), 137–151.

Erhurhu, J. O. (2017). Community policing in Nigeria: The vigilante group option strategy. Uyo Journal of Sustainable Development, 2(1).

Ezeani, E. O. (2021). Community policing in Nigeria: The security challenges. Journal of Global Security Studies, 6(1), 118–136.

Gheciu, A., & Riecke, H. (2019). Security institutions and security community building. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of International Studies.

Horsfall, O., & Jaja, O. (2018). Security challenges and local vigilantes in Nigeria: The Ogoni experience. Journal of Political and Military Sociology, 46(2), 141–160.

Hughes, L., & Edwards, A. (2018). The rise of the new vigilantism: A challenge to the democratic state? International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy, 7(4), 17–34.

Igbokwe-Ibeto, C. J. (2019). Community policing and security challenges in Nigeria: The role of local vigilante groups. Global Journal of Politics and Law Research, 7(6), 14–26.

Isah, A. A. (2021). Community policing and security challenges in Nigeria: A critical appraisal. Nigerian Journal of Public Administration and Local Government Studies, 7(1), 68–79.

Ishola, A. O., & Dabira, U. C. (2020). Community policing and security challenges in Nigeria: An evaluation of the South-West region. Covenant Journal of Politics and International Affairs, 8(2), 105–120.

Jenkins, B. M. (2018). Terrorism: The present threat in context. Routledge.

Jongman, A. J., Schmitz, P. M., & Vos, W. (2019). Political instability and security challenges. In Routledge handbook of international crime and justice studies.

Mallory, G. (2019). Organized crime and terrorism. Oxford University Press.

McConnell, A. (2019). Cybersecurity challenges. Oxford University Press.

Nadarajah, Y., & Sriskandarajah, D. (2020). Vigilantism, informality, and the politics of urban order. Palgrave Macmillan.

Nextier SPD. (2022). Nigeria's security situation analysis report: An 18 months report of violent conflicts in Nigeria (January 2021—December 2021 & January 2022—June 2022). https://reliefweb.int/report/nigeria/nigeria-security-situation-analysis-report-18-months-report-violent-conflicts-nigeria-nextier-violent-conflict-database-january-2021-december-2021-and-january-2022-june-2022

Nwangwu, K., & Ihuoma, E. (2021). Security challenges in Nigeria: An appraisal of local vigilante groups in the South East. International Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences, 7(1), 1–11.

Nwankwo, E. O., Cymeh, J. K., Oji, D. O., & Echeonwu, M. S. (2022). The trajectory of border management and national security in Nigeria: An empirical analysis. International Journal of Development and Public Policy, 2(9), 14–27.

Obi, C. (2012). Crime and vigilantism in Nigeria. In J. Abbink & T. J. Hagmann (Eds.), Reconfiguring violence: Voices of victims and perpetrators. Nordic Africa Institute.

Okoli, A., Agbo, D., Okutu, P., Odu, I., Nwaiwu, C., & Adonu, C. (2020, July 29). Excesses of vigilante groups worry South East communities. Vanguard. https://www.vanguardngr.com/2020/07/excesses-of-vigilante-groups-worry-south-east-communities/

Okorie, C. N., & Ugwuoke, N. E. (2017). Community policing and security challenges in South-East Nigeria. African Journal of Criminology and Justice Studies, 10(1), 103–118.

Olaniyan, D. S. (2022). Community policing and security challenges in Nigeria: An overview. Journal of Social Science and Humanities, 2(1), 41–57.

Ome, J. U., & Olorunfemi, O. J. (2019). Local vigilante groups in community policing: The Nigerian experience. Journal of Sociology and Criminology, 7(2), 68–82.

Onuoha, J. (2017). Introduction background chapter: Non-state security actors and security provisioning in Nigeria. Rule of Law and Empowerment Initiative, Partners West Africa Nigeria.

Onyishi, I. E. (2019). Community vigilante groups and security challenges in Nigeria: The case of the South-East geopolitical zone. International Journal of Criminology and Sociology, 8(2), 62–74.

Rosenbaum, D. P., & Lurigio, A. J. (2017). Community policing: New challenges, new partnerships. Oxford University Press.

Sharma, S., & Sharma, S. (2020). Cyber-vigilantism: Examining the role of online collective identity in online shaming. Media International Australia, 177(1), 44–59.

Skogan, W. G., & Hartnett, S. M. (2017). Community policing. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice.

Trojanowicz, R. (1994). The meaning of "community" in community policing. In D. L. Weisburd & A. Braga (Eds.), *Police innovation: Contrasting perspectives*. Cambridge University Press.

Trojanowicz, R. C., & Bucqueroux, B. (1990). *Community policing: A contemporary perspective*. Anderson Publishing.

Troshynski, E., & Forde, D. R. (2020). *Community policing*. Springer.

Ukiwo, U. (2012). Vigilantism and community self-defence in Nigeria: The Boko Haram challenge. *African Security, 5*(1), 1–17.

Unya, I. U. (2017). Community services and youth development in Nigeria: An analysis of the experiences of Afikpo community, Ebonyi State. *Lapai Journal of Nigeria History, 10*(1).

Zárate, G. (2019). Vigilantism and the state: Local democracy and state power in Bolivia. *Journal of Peasant Studies, 46*(2), 265–287.

Article Information:

Declarations:

Authors' Contribution:

- **All authors Conceptualization, and intellectual revisions. Data collection, interpretation, and drafting of manuscript**

- The authors agree to take responsibility for every facet of the work, making sure that any concerns about its integrity or veracity are thoroughly examined and addressed

- **Conflict of Interest**: NIL

- **Funding Sources**: NIL

Correspondence:

Chukwuemeka Steve Abiakam

steveabiakam@gmail.com